

**Issues and Policies Committee  
July 26, 2013 Meeting Summary**

**Item 1 - Welcome and Opening Remarks:**

The Issues and Policy Committee (IPC) Vice Chair, Abby Snay, welcomed members to the meeting, requested members identify themselves for the record, and reviewed the agenda.

**Attendance:**

John Brauer

Chris Hill

Stewart Knox

Cris McCullough (for Van Ton-Quinlivan – not considered for quorum)

Nathan Nayman (telephone)

Diane Ravnik

Racy Ming

Alma Salazar (telephone)

Alan Fernandez Smith (telephone)

Felicia Flournoy (telephone)

Anne Ward (for Stephen Baiter (telephone))

Blake Konczal (telephone)

Steven Levy (telephone)

Abby Snay (telephone)

Nick Schultz (telephone)

**Members Absent:**

CDE Representative

Ken Burt

Stan Diorio

**Staff Present:**

Tim Rainey, Executive Director

Amy Wallace, Assistant Director of Workforce Innovation

Douglas Sale, Chief of Operations

Daniel Patterson, Administrative Manager

Loren Shimanek, Research Analyst

**Public Present:**

Amy Blumberg, California Workforce Association

Nick Loret de Mola, California Workforce Association

**Item 2a – Approval of the January 7, 2013 Meeting Summary**

Diane Ravnik suggested a correction that would mention the AB 554 sessions had been held in Los Angeles, as well as Concord. Diane motioned to approve the revised summary; it was seconded by Chris Hill, and approved unanimously by the Committee.

### **Item 2b – Approval of the ETPL Policy Revision**

Danny spoke about the policy and provided the history on the workgroup and meetings. The Committee discussed the formation of the ETPL policy workgroup, the refining of the draft document, and the importance of performance outcomes as part of the policy. A document highlighting achievements of the Ad Hoc committee and next steps for the ETPL policy workgroup was presented. Additional comments indicated the intention to deliver a directive regarding this policy in the latter part of September.

Further discussion followed regarding the amount of programs that would be affected by the 70% placement rate, and concerns were raised about the issue of available staff and the work of ensuring a 70% placement rate. The placement rate will only be restricted to WIA participants and the data will be collected from the local workforce investment boards. Clarification was provided to the fact that if WIBs decide to send people to the community colleges, it will be up to them to help with placement after the fact, as the community colleges have no capacity for placement work. There was a lengthy conversation as to the WIBs' ability to do this, with their diminished resources. Concessions were made in judging programs with less than 70% placement that have only a small amount of participants, with the possibility of setting floors or judging the program on a two-year period before removing it from the ETPL.

Issues brought forward around the BPPE portion of the document include the fact there is no breakdown of data for each of the campuses, especially branches and satellites and their inclusion on the ETPL, and the timeline for implementation. It was noted a directive should be expected in September. Diane Ravnik motioned to approve the policy as modified and to incorporate discussion items. The motion was seconded by Blake Konczal and unanimously approved.

### **Item 2c – Approve Additional Performance Measures**

Tim began the conversation by explaining the establishment and work of the Ad Hoc committee. The committee created guidelines that were sent to the Executive Committee, resulting in 7 measures (outcome and process). There was additional dialogue around the six-month pilot period of the measurements and their validity, and an emphasis on timely implementation (within the year).

Further comments were made with regard to the timeline, and concerns were raised that the implementation goal may be unrealistic, however, there was a great deal of support for the measures and the intention to move forward with them in a reasonable way.

Amy Wallace motioned to approve the outcomes and how they would be measured, not the timeline or implementation. Felicia Flournoy seconded the motion, and the item was unanimously approved.

### **Items 3a – 5**

The items were tabled, due to lack of time.

### **Item 6 – Public Comment**

None

The Vice-Chair adjourned the meeting at 12:07 pm.